

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

PUBLIC SERVICES - Panchayat Raj & Rural Development Department – Irregularities in FFWP causing a shortage of 279 Kgs rice and also in releasing of rice to the works taken up in Ramapuram village in Donakonda Mandal in Prakasam District under FFWP/SGRY works - Disciplinary proceedings initiated against Sri K. Prakasa Rao, Extension Officer (Retd.), PR&RD - Further action dropped – Orders – Issued.

PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEVELOPMENT(VIG.IA) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 141 .

Dated: 25.01.2012.

Read the following:-

1. Vigilance Report No.95, dt. 24.08.2004 of G.A.(V&E) Dept.
2. Memo No.11892/CPR/E2.Vig./2004, dt. 23.03.2006 of Commissioner of PR&RE, Hyderabad.
3. Written Statement of Defence of Sri K. Prakasa Rao, MPDO, Donakonda, dt. –Nil-
4. G.O.Rt.No.692, PR&RD (Vig.IA) Dept., dt. 14.05.2007.
5. G.O.Rt.No.139, PR&RD (Vig.IA) Dept., dt. 31.01.2009.
6. From the Commissioner of Inquiries, GAD D.O.Lr.No.223/COI/SB/2009, dt. 24.12.2011.

ORDER:

In the reference 2nd read above, charges were framed against Sri K. Prakasa Rao, Extension Officer, PR&RD, while working as Mandal Parishad Development Officer (FAC), Mandal Parishad, Donakonda, on the irregularities in FFWP causing a shortage of 279 Kgs rice and also in releasing of rice to the works taken up in Ramapuram village in Donakonda Mandal in Prakasam District under FFWP/SGRY works in Prakasam District, under Rule 20 of A.P.Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991. In the reference 3rd read above, the charged officer has submitted his written statement of defence.

2. After examining the matter, in the reference 4th read above, the case was entrusted to Commissioner of Inquiries, General Administration Dept., for conducting detailed common enquiry against Sri K. Prakasa Rao, Extension Officer, PR&RD along with (19) charged officers belong to engineering and non-engineering of Panchayat Raj, I&CAD, Agriculture & Co-operation, and Social Welfare Departments. In the reference 5th read above, modified orders were issued changing the Inquiry Officer of Commissioner of Inquiries, GAD.

3. In the reference 6th read above, the enquiry officer of COI, GAD has submitted his enquiry report and in his findings has stated that the charges in respect of Sri K. Prakasa Rao, Extension Officer, PR&RD, are not held proved.

4. Government, after careful examination, hereby decide and accordingly, drop further action against Sri K. Prakasha Rao, Extension Officer (Retd.), PR&RD, in the matter.

5. The Commissioner, PR&RE, Hyderabad shall take necessary further action in the matter, accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

C.R. BISWAL
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT(PR)

To
Sri K. Prakasha Rao, Extension Officer (Retd.), PR&RD through
the Commissioner, PR&RE, Hyderabad.
The Commissioner, PR&RE, Hyderabad.

.....PTO

::2::

Copy to:

The PR & RD (Vig.IV)) Dept.

The Secretary to Vigilance Commissioner, A.P.V.C., Hyderabad.

The G.A. (V&E) Dept.

(Vigilance Report No.95, dt. 24.08.2004)

The Govt. Pleader for PR&RD, A.P.A.T., Hyderabad

(w.r.to C.A.No.1256/2011 in OA No.3745/2011)

SF/SC

// FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER